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Exclusion of COL2A1 as a candidate gene in a family with Wagner-Stickler syndrome

A E Fryer, M Upadhyaya, M Littler, P Bacon, D Watkins, P Tsipouras, P S Harper

Abstract
A large family with Wagner's vitreoretinal degeneration but none of the non-ocular features of Stickler's syndrome has been studied with gene probes for type II collagen. Recombination has been observed, thus excluding type II collagen as the site of mutation in this family. This report supports other published evidence that the Wagner-Stickler syndrome is genetically heterogeneous.

In 1938, Wagner described a family where 13 members in three generations had a vitreoretinal degeneration associated with myopia and cataracts. The only non-ocular feature reported was hearing loss in a 17 year old patient. The pattern of inheritance was autosomal dominant. Jansen later reported a similar phenotype in two Dutch families, but in some of these cases retinal detachments and simple glaucoma occurred. In 1963, Delaney et al described a family where cleft palate was associated with the ocular changes and in 1965 Stickler et al noted an association with craniofacial abnormalities and a progressive arthropathy. In several subsequent studies, patients with Wagner's retinopathy were shown to have some of the non-ocular features of Stickler's syndrome. Libefarb et al studied 22 probands with Wagner's retinopathy and 68 of their relatives and found, in total, 70 affected subjects. Of these, 86% had the facial features of Stickler's syndrome and 60% had musculoskeletal abnormalities. They termed the disorder the Wagner-Stickler syndrome, concluding that the two phenotypes were part of the same condition.

An alternative approach was adopted by Maumenee who subdivided 'Wagner-like vitreoretinal degeneration' according to the associated clinical features. She first grouped families into those with and those without non-ocular manifestations. The first group included families like those described by Wagner and Jansen. The second group contained several bone dysplasias and included Stickler's syndrome. Maumenee suggested that families in this latter group may result from mutations involving type II collagen as this is present in the secondary vitreous and cartilage. This view gained support when genetic linkage was shown to the structural gene for type II collagen (COL2A1) in two families with Stickler's syndrome.

This discovery provides a means of determining whether all vitreoretinal degenerations result from mutations at the same locus or whether the clinical subdivision of Maumenee has a basis in non-allelic genetic heterogeneity. We have studied a family where the clinical features have been purely ocular and where several members have had retinal detachments. This family therefore most resembles that described by Jansen. We have looked for genetic linkage in this family to the collagen probe COL2A1. If recombination is detected then a mutation in the type II collagen gene is excluded as the cause for the disorder in this family.

Families that have been reported with the Wagner-Stickler syndrome have shown considerable variability in the expression of the gene. Libefarb et al found no evidence of skipped generations in 22 families and so penetrance appears to be nearly complete, but in view of the variability they recommended that routine eye examinations had to be performed into the third decade before the diagnosis of Wagner's syndrome could be ruled out with certainty.

Methods
The pedigree is shown in the figure and the clinical features of the affected subjects are recorded in the table. All of the living patients have been examined by
ophthalmologists and have characteristic fundal signs. In order to avoid misclassification of unaffected persons, those clinically normal subjects over 20 years of age who were available for study (IV.5 and IV.7) had ophthalmological examinations (by PB) involving Goldmann aplanation tonometry, slit lamp examination, indirect ophthalmoscopy, and three mirror biomicroscopy.

Blood was taken from all living subjects in the pedigree and DNA preparation, restriction enzyme digests, electrophoresis, and Southern transfer performed using standard methods. The filters were hybridised with the DNA probes pPst1 (kindly provided by Dr Ellen Solomon) and pEB1.6. Probe pPst1 is a subclone of CosHcol1 that contains the human α1(II) collagen gene and is a 1·1 kb fragment. This probe detects a HindIII polymorphism consisting of a 7·0 kb and a 14·0 kb band. Probe pEB1.6 is a 1·6 kb EcoRI-BglII fragment of HC0L1IF9,10 and maps to 12q13.1q13.2. This probe detects a HindI polymorphism and identifies a three allele system (allele 1=2·1 kb, 2=1·75 kb, and 3=1·15 and 0·6 kb) with allele frequencies 0·44, 0·11, and 0·45.

Results

The DNA typing results are given in the figure. Phase is established for both probes in III.1. With probe pPst1, IV.7 and V.1 are recombinants. IV.7 has had a full ophthalmological assessment at 23 years of age and has no signs of the disorder. V.1 is only 11 years of age, and hence we cannot be certain that he is unaffected. With probe pEB1.6, the affected subject V.2 is also a recombinant. IV.5 (aged 30 years) also had a full ophthalmological assessment and no abnormalities were detected.

Discussion

Francomano et al7 have presented evidence from a linkage study to support the hypothesis that mutations in type II collagen cause at least some cases of Stickler’s syndrome. There has been a debate about the possible identity or allelism of Wagner’s and Stickler’s syndromes, and linkage studies in families with these diagnoses can help resolve this issue. It is clear from clinical and radiological studies that many families labelled as Wagner’s syndrome have the additional features of Stickler’s syndrome. Members of this family, however, fulfill the criteria of Wagner or Jansen retinopathy, but no family members have the typical facial features or marfanoid habitus of Stickler’s syndrome nor the associated spondyloepiphysial dysplasia (II.1, III.3, and IV.2 have had skeletal x rays). There is also no history or signs of oral clefting or deafness. Three recombinants have been observed in this family with COL2A1 gene probes, although one of these subjects (V.1) is only 11 years of age and so this conclusion might be deferred. This result shows that the mutation in this family is not within the type II collagen structural gene.

Since the publication of the original linkage data by Francomano et al,7 the same authors have studied the original family reported by Wagner and excluded mutations at the COL2A1 locus.11 The results reported here, in a family that clinically most closely resembles the one described by Jansen,2 provide further evidence that the vitreoretinal degeneration of Wagner is genetically heterogeneous. The defect here is not within the structural gene for type II collagen, though conceivably the defect may reflect a mutation involving post-transcriptional or post-translational modification.

We would like to thank Mr Laz Lazarou for technical assistance.
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**Pedigree with typing results.**

**Clinical features of affected subjects.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I.1</td>
<td>History of myopia. Glaucoma aged 45 y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.2</td>
<td>History of retinal detachment at 23 y. Later developed glaucoma and became blind.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III.1</td>
<td>Aged 59 y. Myopia, wearing spectacles from 4 y. Glaucoma at 36 y. Subsequently developed bilateral cataracts and a retinal detachment. Registered blind at 56 y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Probes pPst1 and pEB1.6 were used for DNA typing.
2. V.2 is the index case.
3. III.3 is the mother of V.2.
4. IV.7 is the brother of V.2.
5. V.2 is the affected subject.

---
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